

JOURNAL ARTICLE PRE-PROOF (as accepted)

Trends

Love, compassion and attachment in psychiatric care: perspectives for research and clinical practice

Rodolfo Furlan Damiano, Gregory Fricchione, Euripedes Constantino Miguel

 $http:\!/\!doi.org/10.47626/2237\text{-}6089\text{-}2022\text{-}0557$

Original submitted Date: 23-Aug-2022

Accepted Date: 17-Oct-2022

This is a preliminary, unedited version of a manuscript that has been accepted for publication in Trends in Psychiatry and Psychotherapy. As a service to our readers, we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will still undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in final form on the SciELO database (www.scielo.br/trends). The final version may present slight differences in relation to the present version.

Love, compassion and attachment in psychiatric care: perspectives for research and clinical practice

Running Head: Love and Compassion in Psychiatric Care

Rodolfo Furlan Damiano M.D.¹, Gregory Fricchione M.D.,² Euripedes Constantino Miguel M.D., Ph.D.¹

- Departamento e Instituto de Psiquiatria, Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil.
- Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.

Corresponding Author and Adress: Rodolfo Furlan Damiano, Instituto de Psiquiatria do Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil. Rua Dr. Ovídio Pires de Campos, 785, Cerqueira César, São Paulo — SP, 05403-903; E-mail: damianorf@gmail.com; phone: (11) 93330-0360.

Previous Presentation: None

Declaration of Interest: None

Acknowledgements: None.

Author Contributions: RFD, GF, and ECM developed conception and design of the work, performed critical analysis and interpretation, and wrote the first manuscript draft and the conception and design of the work and provided critical revision of the article. All authors contributed approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Role of the funding source: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Trends Psychiatry Psychother - Journal Article Pre-Proof (as accepted) Page 3 of 9

Abstract

Love, compassion and related constructs have drawn to much attention from the general public

due to the increased need of a better and more humanistic medical care. However, those

constructs have been constantly neglected in academic benches and high impact research

journals due to the 'abstract' and 'non-measurable' nature of these constructs. At the same time,

medicine is claiming for a more patient-oriented and empathetic care, as care has been more

disease-oriented and less life- and health-oriented, as initially proposed. This piece tries to

discuss and claim for a more compassionate and altruistic care, despite technical pressure from

most healthcare systems. We aim, thus, to incentive more research and clinical practice toward

this very important subject.

Keywords: Compassion, Empathy, Humanism.

Modern medicine seems to be drifting away from the most important features of the clinical

encounter and the benefits of clinical judgment informed by attachment, compassion, and

caritas—the Latin word for love (i.e. humanistic traits). This risks a breakdown in patient belief

in the doctor thus undermining physician-patient relationship.² Studies have shown that trust

plays a central role in attachment (i.e., "lasting psychological connectedness between human

beings")³ and the doctor-patient relationship.⁴ In addition, it can have a great impact on clinical

outcomes⁵ related to factors like greater patient adherence.⁶ Specific functional brain changes

have already been reported in secure attachment relationships in adulthood⁷ and underlying

mechanisms should be further examined.

Trends Psychiatry Psychother - Pre-Proof - http://doi.org/10.47626/2237-6089-2022-0557

Undoubtedly, there is much debate regarding affection, attachment, and empathy in the clinical encounter, especially in psychiatric care. Discussions center around definition, structure, and practical applicability in clinical care. Perhaps the most studied of all these abstract concepts is empathy.⁸ There are now several techniques developed to improve empathy in medical students and residents. Empathy (i.e., "the cognitive attribute that involves an ability to understand the patient's inner experiences and perspective and a capability to communicate this understanding"),⁸ in essence, is being viewed as a cognitive and behavioral trait that can be developed and taught, sometimes in a systematic way. Some studies have even pointed out that empathy (or cognitive empathy) is more important than sympathy, or affective empathy (which also might be detrimental in some cases), as the latter might be more negatively associated with emotions and affective instability.⁹ Furthermore, recent studies have attempted to understand predictors of compassion and related constructs in medical students, highlighting the importance of these constructs for physicians to be.¹⁰

This distinction between affection and cognition is more pronounced when talking about these subjective human aspects. Love and compassion, usually seen as affective virtues, have been put aside in many academic medicine settings, seen as subjective and more philosophical than scientific. This may reflect the putative struggle regarding science and religion¹¹ where love, compassion and other virtues have been clustered in spiritual rather than scientific terms. When viewed as spiritual, it seems they cannot be put into medical discussion.

When the dialogue turns to the topic of love in medicine, it behoves us to reflect back on the ancient philosophers, especially the classical Greek thinkers. In ancient Greece there were three words to define love: Agápe (ie. spiritual love); $\acute{E}ros$ (ie. romantic love); and Philia (ie.

virtuous love among peers and community). These forms of love were described by Aristotle in Nicomachean Ethics and this is closest to the topic of our discussion here. In order to develop *Philia*, it is crucial to understand what compassion is. Compassion is related to what is known as affective empathy. It refers to the capacity to overcome some of our emotional boundaries in order to help the sufferer; since *Philia* can result in inner pain in the midst of the suffering "other", there is an inner trait of altruism at work in this form of love. Interestingly, in Hebrew, compassion is "rechemet", the root of which is "rechem" which means "womb". The womb protects the unborn child, nourishes and prepares the fetus for life. This word brings to mind the mother-infant relationship and the idea that compassion is to love like a mother.

It is also important to raise an important contribution of religious tradition to this debate. In ancient times, a close connection between medicine and love/charity was missing, witness the history of physician abandonment of plague victims in the classical world. The commitment to love and charity in the practice of medicine, which can be understood as unconditional devotion to caretaking of another's needs, especially those of the poor and the sick, in the Western Christian world, is encapsulated in the concept of "caritas". The idea of altruistic and even sacrificial care for the sick as part of the medical mission was strongly shaped by the Christian idea of charity (and by the Jesus stories), understood as unconditional love for one's neighbor. These concepts markedly influenced the more humane response to great plagues across the historical record, where Christians, inspired by the concept of agape, altruistic love, helped unknown "siblings" and strove to reduce mortality. This helped shape the moral values of society as a whole. These ideas also influenced the creation of hospitals as charitable institutions devoted to care for the sick, with a "preferential option for the poor" a phrase first used by South American Catholic leaders in a Church document from a 1968 meeting of the

Conference of Latin American Bishops held in Medellin, Columbia.¹³ Thus, the concept of love for one's neighbor as an essential aspect of human life (and of medical practice) has deep and lasting spiritual roots. There are of course echoes and similarities of this embedding of spiritually based compassionate care in the effects of other religious traditions on medical practice in other cultures.¹⁴

Love and compassion represent a large part of attachment and the singularity of care.

Ultimately, attachment represents the most important aspect of the clinical encounter. When the patient feels appreciated in all dimensions (e.g., physical, mental, spiritual), he or she will feel listened to and more deeply connected to the doctor. Treatment adherence may increase in a trustful, therapeutic environment where healing can take place, sometimes even accelerating the pace of recovery. But even when patients do not improve at the speed they (and we) want, we can accompany them providing solace as their spirits wrestle with deep feelings and fears. To

So why do most of the physicians run away from this aspect of the healing encounter?

What are our own deep emotions, fears, and boundaries? To understand this, we will need self-compassion. Overcoming our own fears of engagement might make us much more connected with our and others' feelings and with the purpose of our profession and the core of our vocation. Physicians, including psychiatrists, don't need to run away from their inclination to be lovingly present with their patients, rather, they must acknowledge and be proud of it.

In a scientific way, we must try to understand the subjacent mechanisms of *caritas* and its impact on the medical encounter, for perhaps *caritas* enhances any medical intervention. This strategy can help to reconnect our medical science with the spiritual and subjective aspects of the human being. In this sense, virtues, positive traits and tools to promote the patient-doctor

encounter might be reconsidered as part of the therapeutic arsenal of all physicians, including psychiatrists. Spirituality (i.e., connection to something greater than ourselves and the seeking of a higher purpose) could imbue our therapeutic encounters and also be re-examined and integrated into academic medical settings.

Illness represents a separation challenge to the patient who often contemplates the potential loss of his attachments as a result of the disorder that has befallen him. 18 The patient seeks out the healer who can find the attachment solutions that will relieve his suffering and uncertainty and return security to his attachments. 18 Humans evolved to find a secure attachment, as love, compassion and care tend to create a safe and healing environment that fosters the development of our human potential.¹⁹ In developmental terms, illness can recapitulate our early childhood separation challenges leading to a sense of misattunement. In childhood when this happens, the love of the mother is the solution for the separation fears of the child, ushering in the solace of reattunement. Throughout development, the age-appropriate separation challenges we face are solved through our healing attachments with our parents, our families, and our friends. And this will be true for the rest of our lives and relationships. When we are sick and certainly when facing the anxiety and fear of death, our patients can re-experience in the patientdoctor relationship, the healing attachment solutions that he first experienced in the caritas-the compassionate love- of his mother (rechemet/rechem/womb). Therefore, based on our brain's evolution we need the integration of compassionate care into whatever framework of healthcare delivery a society chooses because compassion is physiologically, psychologically and spiritually essential to true healing.¹⁸

Those who practice medicine must apply the attachment solutions that come with scientific competence at the biological level and interpersonal compassion at the humanistic level. Indeed, medicine must strive to unify the scientific and the spiritual, with both methods of relating serving as potential reflections of the attachment solutions to the separation challenges that illness presents, culminating in compassionate love at the bedside. In the final analysis, this is the only option for a medicine that professes to be humanistic.

References

- 1. Thibault GE. Humanism in Medicine: What Does It Mean and Why Is It More Important Than Ever? Acad Med. 2019;94:1074-7.
- 2. Blendon RJ, Benson JM, Hero JO. Public trust in physicians--U.S. medicine in international perspective. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1570-2.
- 3. Bowlby J. Attachment and Loss: Basic Books; 1969.
- 4. Ommen O, Janssen C, Neugebauer E, Bouillon B, Rehm K, Rangger C, et al. Trust, social support and patient type--associations between patients perceived trust, supportive communication and patients preferences in regard to paternalism, clarification and participation of severely injured patients. Patient Educ Couns. 2008;73:196-204.
- 5. Olaisen RH, Schluchter MD, Flocke SA, Smyth KA, Koroukian SM, Stange KC. Assessing the Longitudinal Impact of Physician-Patient Relationship on Functional Health. Ann Fam Med. 2020;18:422-9.
- 6. Adams GC, Wrath AJ, Meng X. The Relationship between Adult Attachment and Mental Health Care Utilization: A Systematic Review. Can J Psychiatry. 2018;63:651-60. Epub 20180619.
- 7. Riem MM, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, van IMH, Out D, Rombouts SA. Attachment in the brain: adult attachment representations predict amygdala and behavioral responses to infant crying. Attach Hum Dev. 2012;14:533-51.
- 8. Hojat M, Gonnella JS, Nasca TJ, Mangione S, Vergare M, Magee M. Physician empathy: definition, components, measurement, and relationship to gender and specialty. Am J Psychiatry. 2002;159:1563-9. Epub 2002/08/31.
- 9. Nightingale SD, Yarnold PR, Greenberg MS. Sympathy, empathy, and physician resource utilization. J Gen Intern Med. 1991;6:420-3.

- 10. Wang CXY, Pavlova A, Boggiss AL, O'Callaghan A, Consedine NS. Predictors of Medical Students' Compassion and Related Constructs: A Systematic Review. Teach Learn Med. 2022:1-12. Epub 20220805.
- 11. Numbers RL. Mitos e verdades em ciência e religião: uma perspectiva histórica. Archives of Clinical Psychiatry (São Paulo). 2009;36:250-5.
- 12. Stark R. Religious Effects: In Praise of "Idealistic Humbug". Review of Religious Research. 2000;41:289-310.
- 13. Riva MA, Cesana G. The charity and the care: the origin and the evolution of hospitals. Eur J Intern Med. 2013;24:1-4. Epub 20121128.
- 14. Burnell L. Exploring the Compassionate Care Connection. Journal of Christian Nursing. 2010;27.
- 15. Shippee ND, Shippee TP, Mobley PD, Fernstrom KM, Britt HR. Effect of a Whole-Person Model of Care on Patient Experience in Patients With Complex Chronic Illness in Late Life. Am J Hosp Palliat Care. 2018;35:104-9. Epub 20170129.
- 16. Goncalves JP, Lucchetti G, Menezes PR, Vallada H. Religious and spiritual interventions in mental health care: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. Psychol Med. 2015;45:2937-49. Epub 2015/07/23.
- 17. Horton P. Solace. The Missing Dimension in Psychiatry. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1981.
- 18. Fricchione G. Separation, Attachment and Altruistic Love. The Evolutionary Basis for Medical Caring. In: Post S, Underwood L, Schloss J, Hurlbut W, editors. Altruism and Altruistic Love Science, Philosophy and Religion in Dialogue. New York: Oxford University Press; 2002. p. 346-61.
- 19. Zhang X, Deng M, Ran G, Tang Q, Xu W, Ma Y, et al. Brain correlates of adult attachment style: A voxel-based morphometry study. Brain Res. 2018;1699:34-43. Epub 20180630.