Tends in Psychiatry and Psychotherapy

JOURNAL ARTICLE PRE-PROOF

(as accepted)

Original Article

Changes on risky drinking after the COVID-19 outbreak in Brazil: results from three consecutive web surveys

Luisa Alencar Santos Lage, Fátima Smith Erthal, Marcelo Ribeiro-Alves, Aline Furtado Bastos, Vicent Balanzá-Martinez, Flavio Kapczinski, Raquel B. De Boni

http://doi.org/10.47626/2237-6089-2023-0686

Original submitted Date: 14-Jun-2023

Accepted Date: 21-Nov-2023

This is a preliminary, unedited version of a manuscript that has been accepted for publication in Trends in Psychiatry and Psychotherapy. As a service to our readers, we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will still undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in final form on the SciELO database (www.scielo.br/trends). The final version may present slight differences in relation to the present version.

Changes on risky drinking after the COVID-19 outbreak in Brazil: results from three consecutive web surveys

Luisa Alencar Santos Lage¹, Fátima Smith Erthal^{1,2*}, Marcelo Ribeiro-Alves³, Aline Furtado Bastos², Vicent Balanzá-Martinez⁴, Flavio Kapczinski^{5,6}, Raquel B. De Boni⁷

¹ Instituto de Psiquiatria (IPUB), Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

² Instituto de Biofísica Carlos Chagas Filho (IBCCF), Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

³ Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas (INI), Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

⁴ Teaching Unit of Psychiatry and Psychological Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Valencia, CIBERSAM, Valencia, Spain.

⁵ Departamento de Psiquiatria, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

⁶ Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

⁷ Instituto de Comunicação e Informação Científica e Tecnológica em Saúde (ICICT), Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Short title: Changes on RD after the COVID-19 outbreak Word count: 1,958 words

* Corresponding author:

Fátima Smith Erthal Federal University of Rio de Janeiro Av. Carlos Chagas Filho 373, Rio de Janeiro/RJ, Brazil. Zipcode: 21941-902 Phone: +55 21 3938-6556 e-mail: erthalfs@biof.ufrj.br

Fundings: National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq): grants #31253/2020-4 and #400197/2022-7.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Ethics: The websurveys were approved by the *Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa* (CONEP, Brazil - 3.968.686) and the Ethics Committee from Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (CAAE-31520620.0.1001.5327).

Contributors

RBDB and VBM conceived the websurveys. MRA analyzed the data. LASL, AB and FE reviewed the literature and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and provided significant intellectual contribution. All the authors approved the submitted version.

Abstract

Introduction: Risky drinking (RD) is associated with an increased risk of chronic and infectious diseases, injuries, and violence. This study aimed to assess changes in risky drinking (RD) in Brazil after COVID-19 outbreak, both overall and among individuals with self-reported chronic diseases and mental health disorders.

Methods: We conducted three independent, anonymous web surveys in Brazil including adult participants: S1 (April/2020, n=19,257), S2 (August/2020, n=1,590), and S3 (January/2021, n=859). Participants were recruited through adapted snowball sampling and sponsored social network advertisements. RD was assessed using the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test-Concise, designed to identify individuals at risk of alcohol-related problems. Logistic regression analyses with bootstrapping (B=2,000) were performed, with stratification by sex, age, education, employment, household size, and the presence of chronic and mental health conditions, as well as lifestyle factors, to address sample imbalances.

Results: The estimated prevalence of RD was 45.8% [95%CI 45.5, 46.1] in S1, 35.3% [95%CI 34.9, 35.6] in S2, and 33.7% [95%CI 33.3, 34.0] in S3. Participants with chronic diseases consistently presented lower RD prevalence across all three surveys, compared to those without such conditions. Conversely, individuals with mental health disorders presented higher RD prevalence than those without such diagnoses in S1 and S2, but not in S3.

Discussion: Despite the decrease in RD prevalence, monitoring of alcohol consumption trends remains essential for shaping effective public health policies. Additionally, the observed variations among individuals reporting chronic and mental health disorders highlight the need for targeted interventions in future crises. **Keywords**: Alcohol, chronic diseases, mental health disorders, developing countries, surveys, internet.

INTRODUCTION

The harmful use of alcohol is a public health problem and a risk factor for morbidity and mortality worldwide [1–3]. For these reasons, its decrease is one of the United Nations' sustainable development goals [4]. At the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, there was huge concern that the crisis could lead to an increase on alcohol consumption [5]. In 2022, a systematic review evaluated the changes on alcohol consumption during the pandemic and found heterogenous results. Studies showed significant decreases in total alcohol consumption and binge drinking, and significant increase in the frequency of alcohol use and alcohol use disorder (AUD) in some countries but not in others [6]. Furthermore, many studies indicated that the majority of individuals kept their drinking at the same levels as before the pandemic [7–12].

In Brazil, cross-sectional studies presented unconclusive results regarding alcohol consumption during the COVID-19 pandemic either reporting its reduction [13,14] or increase [15]. However, none of those studies was longitudinal and only Nin et al. [16] investigated alcohol consumption through a validated scale (ASSIST). The mixed results may be explained by different methodologies, and by the substantial risk of recall bias (since the changes on alcohol consumption relied on self-reports from retrospective behavior).

As per the last US Preventive Services Task Force "*Risky'* or *hazardous* alcohol use means drinking more than the recommended daily, weekly, or peroccasion amounts, resulting in increased risk for health consequences but not meeting criteria for Alcohol use disorders (AUD)" [17]. During the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, we found the prevalence of risky drinking (RD) at 45.5% [18]. It is noteworthy that the prevalence of AUD/RD may be higher in clinical populations when compared to the general population. For example, in some Brazilian studies, the prevalence of an AUD positive screening was around 13% in patients seen in primary care, while severe AUD ranged from 9.8% among patients in primary care, and 12% and 14% among individuals with positive screening for depression and anxiety, respectively [19–23]. On the hand, Salles et al. [24] did not find statistically significant differences in AUD prevalence among individuals reporting chronic diseases (7.5% [95% CI 6.1-8.7]) and mental health disorders (8.4% [95% CI 6.7-10.2]). Considering the burden of the pandemic over the health system, evaluating the use of alcohol among individuals with comorbidities brings important information for planning contingency strategies in health. Moreover, investigating the pattern of alcohol consumption during a pandemic may orient the development of prevention strategies to face future challenges like the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite the great relevance of this topic, few studies were conducted in Latin America during the COVID-19 pandemic. The largest was conducted in Colombia and Mexico and found a decrease on alcohol use among patients at primary health services [25], but authors acknowledge that this may reflect a decrease in the number of patients seeking for the such services.

There is a dearth on studies evaluating trends on alcohol consumption in lowand middle-income countries. Therefore, the present study aimed to fill this gap by evaluating the changes in RD during three different moments of the pandemic. The changes were assessed among the Brazilian general population, as well as compared between individuals reporting or not chronic diseases and reporting or not mental health disorders.

METHOD

This is a secondary analysis profiting from data obtained in three consecutive, anonymous, web surveys conducted in Brazil: Survey 1 (S1) was conducted from April 20-May 20, 2020 [19]; Survey 2 (S2) from August 28-October 09, 2020; and, Survey 3 (S3) from January 18-March 06, 2021 [26]. The questionnaire was programmed in SurveyGizmo® and published elsewhere [27]. Skips were implemented to decrease the time of completion, and the usability of the online version was tested before launching the surveys. All websurveys comprised convenience samples including adults of both sexes, with access to the Internet, self-reporting living in Brazil, and who agreed to participate after reading the informed consent. Multiple entries by the same individuals were prevented by asking if the surveys were filled before (S2 and S3).

Modified snowball sampling and sponsored social network advertisements were used for recruitment. Due to the lack of parameters to estimate the sample size for S1, a 30 day-period of data collection was pre-specified.

Outcome

The primary outcome was the change in the prevalence of RD across the three surveys. RD was screened through the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test-Concise (AUDIT-C), using a cut-off \geq 3 (which presents 95% sensitivity and 60% specificity for detecting heavy alcohol use and AUD) [28].

Main variables of interest

The main variables of interest were self-reported chronic diseases and mental health disorders. Previously diagnosed chronic diseases and mental health disorders were investigated using the question "In the last 12 months, have you been diagnosed by a medical doctor or health professional, or received treatment for (diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, asthma, cirrhosis, kidney disease, cancer, depression, anxiety, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and eating disorders)?" [29]. Those conditions were aggregated as chronic diseases and mental health disorders, following Salles et al. [24].

Covariates

Demographic information included sex(male/female), age (dichotomized by the median 41 education value i.e. years), level (up to highschool/undergraduate/university degree or more), number of people living in the household (1/2-3/4-9), geographic regions (Midwest, North and Northeast/South and Southeast) - aggregated due to the findings from socioeconomic vulnerability presented by Rocha et al. [30], and employment (no/yes/unemployed due to COVID-19).

Lifestyle was measured using the Short Multidimensional Inventory Lifestyle Evaluation- Confinement (SMILE-C) [27], developed and validated to allow a multidimensional measure of lifestyle. It comprises 27 items, evaluating seven domains (Diet and Nutrition, Substance use, Physical activity, Stress management, Restorative sleep, Social support, and Environmental exposures). Answers are

provided through a Likert scale and summed up to provide an overall score. The higher the score, the healthier the lifestyle.

Statistical analysis

We described the absolute and relative frequencies of demographic and clinical characteristics among individuals presenting RD in each survey (S1, S2, and S3). The mean prevalence and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) of RD in each survey were estimated after bootstrapping the samples; prevalence and their 95% CI of RD were also estimated for each of the variables of interest (i.e, chronic diseases, and mental health disorders). Bootstrap samples (B = 2,000) were stratified by sex, age, geographic region, employment, education level, lifestyle, mental health disorders, and chronic diseases totaling 576 strata; equivalent to 1,152,000 stratified bootstrap samples. For each stratum of equal size (n=100), a sampling weight inversely proportional to its representativeness in the original sample was calculated.

To evaluate the changes in the prevalence of RD, in the first moment, unconditional binomial models were fitted in each bootstrap round in each stratified sample. For each of these models, the pairwise adjusted/marginal Odds-ratio (aOR) between the surveys for each outcome were obtained after Tukey's honest significant difference [31] correction for multiple testing and summarized as mean-marginal aOR bootstrap estimates and their 95% CI. Statistical significance was determined by evaluating using 95% CIs.

All statistical analyses were performed using the software R v.4.2.2 and the libraries 'boot', 'emmeans' and their dependencies.

Ethical aspects

The websurveys were approved by the *Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa* (CONEP, Brazil - 3.968.686) and the Ethics Committee from Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (CAAE-31520620.0.1001.5327).

RESULTS

The original characteristics of the sample with positive screening for by RD are presented in Table 1. In all surveys, RD was more frequent among individuals who did not report chronic diseases. RD was also more frequent among those reporting mental

health disorders in S1 and S2, but not S3. RD was also more frequent among men, younger, those with higher educational attainment, and presenting worse lifestyle.

Table 1: Characteristics of the sampled participants in S1, S2, and S3 with positive screening for by risky drinking (AUDIT-C score ≥ 3). Brazil, 2020-2021.

	Survey 1	Survey 2	Survey 3				
	n= 19257	n= 1590	n= 859				
Main variables of interest							
Chronic Disease							
No	6193 (47.6%)	354 (38.5%)	225 (39.8%)				
Yes	2534 (41.6%)	170 (30.9%)	58 (24.9%)				
Mental Disorder							
No	5493 (45.0%)	334 (34.9%)	191 (35.1%)				
Yes	3057 (46.6%)	180 (37.1%)	88 (35.5%)				
Covariates							
Sex							
Female	5873 (44.7%)	414 (34.0%)	235 (35.0%)				
Male	2914 (47.5%)	111 (42.5%)	49 (36.8%)				
Age							
18-41	5936 (50.0%)	224 (41.7%)	153 (38.1%)				
41 or more	2851 (38.6%)	301 (32.0%)	131 (32.6%)				
Region							
MW/N/NE	1989 (46.0%)	70 (35.0%)	20 (26.0%)				
S/SE	6798 (45.5%)	455 (35.6%)	264 (36.3%)				
Employed							
No	2982 (40.6%)	174 (28.6%)	71 (29.0%)				
Yes	5481 (48.4%)	341 (40.8%)	204 (38.3%)				
Unemployed due COVID-19	324 (54.5%)	10 (30.3%)	9 (33.3%)				
Education level							
Up to high-school	1996 (43.2%)	106 (26.1%)	57 (27.5%)				
Undergraduate	4611 (45.4%)	271 (36.8%)	145 (36.4%)				
University degree or more	2180 (48.6%)	148 (44.0%)	82 (41.2%)				
Household Members							
1	1241 (49.1%)	70 (33.3%)	44 (36.1%)				
2-3	5170 (46.3%)	337 (36.7%)	171 (35.7%)				
4-9	2365 (42.7%)	118 (33.8%)	68 (33.7%)				
Lifestyle							
Worse	277(60.5%)	17 (51.5%)	8 (47.1%)				
Better	8510 (45.3%)	508 (35.2%)	276 (35.1%)				

After bootstrap and adjustment, the overall prevalence of RD was 45.83% [95%CI 45.51- 46.15] in S1, 35.28% [95%CI 34.94-35.63] in S2, and 33.69% [95% CI 33.33-34.03] in S3. Additionally, the prevalence of RD was lower among individuals who report chronic diseases (compared to those without it), and the prevalence of RD was higher among those reporting mental health disorders in S1 and S2 (compared to those without it). All these differences are statistically significant, as can be observed by the non-overlap of 95%CIs – Supplementary Material 1.

Figure 1 shows the decrease in the prevalence of RD in the 3 surveys. Among individuals reporting chronic diseases, the prevalence of RD was 45.05% [95% CI 44.31-45.79] in S1 and 33.11% [95% CI 32.38-33.82] in S3, while among those without chronic diseases it was 47.86% [95% CI 47.30-48.42] in S1 and 35.67% [95% CI 35.06-36.26] in S3. Among individuals reporting mental health disorders the prevalence of RD was 51.50% [95% CI 50.78-52.20 in S1 and 32.34% [95% CI 31.62-33.11] in S3, while among those without mental health disorders it was 42.89% [95% CI 42.32-43.47] in S1 and 35.35% [95% CI 34.73-35.96] in S3 (Supplementary Material 1). All the findings were also statistically significant in the adjusted logistic models, as can be observed in Supplementary Material 2, where 95% CIs do not include 1.



*Resampling (B=2000) stratified by sex, age, education level, employment, number of people in the household, chronic and mental health diseases where applicable.

Figure 1: Bootstrapped risk-drinking prevalence estimates* (AUDIT score \geq 3) in the three surveys, overall and among individuals presenting (or not) chronic diseases, and mental health disorders. Brazil, 2020-2021.

DISCUSSION

In the present longitudinal analysis, we show that there was a decrease in the prevalence of RD in Brazil between April 2020 and March 2021. Additionally, individuals reporting chronic diseases presented a lower prevalence of RD, while those reporting mental health disorders present a higher prevalence (compared with those without chronic and mental health problems, respectively). It is noteworthy that these changes reflect the year after Brazil's first COVID-19 case was diagnosed and not pre-post pandemic changes.

Cross-sectional studies, conducted in the begging of the pandemic, reported mixed results regarding alcohol consumption [32]. For instance, Moura et al. [13] observed a 32,5% reduction in alcohol consumption, with a positive association of alcohol consumption with anxiety and depression, both isolated and combined. Malta et al. [14] also observed a reduction in consumption, but among Brazilian adolescents. In terms of drinking behaviors, Garcia-Cerde et al. [15] observed a negative association between quarantine and the frequency of heavy episodic drinking. Otherwise, Baptista et al. [33] and Nin et al. [16] observed increased alcohol consumption. Here, we employed a longitudinal approach with a validated scale (AUDIT-C) which revealed a reduction in risky drinking along three consecutive waves. Insofar international longitudinal studies have been published, results indicate no changes/decrease in alcohol use and in RD at the general population level [34]. Although our results do not capture pre-pandemic alcohol use, it is possible that there was an increase in alcohol consumption in the beginning of the pandemic, as reported by Nin et al. [16], possibly reflecting as a mechanism cope with high levels of stress/ anxiety [35], followed by regression towards pre-pandemic mean [36]. Additionally, there were changes in on/off-premises alcohol consumption that may have influenced drinking patterns. For instance, in February-March 2021, Brazil was experiencing the second COVID-19 wave, and although the levels of anxiety/stress could be lower than in the 2020, there was a second increase in proportion of people staying at home (see the changes in the Brazilian Home Stay Index at https://bigdata-<u>covid19.icict.fiocruz.br/</u>). It is possible, as suggested by our previous findings [18], that the decreased opportunities of social drinking may have decreased RD [37]. Considering the multiple macro- and individual- level factors that influence drinking behavior, future studies to disentangle these effects are necessary.

The decreasing prevalence of RD in the first year of the pandemic, however, is not completely reassuring. Even before the pandemic, Case & Deaton [38] pointed to the increase in alcohol related mortality among white, US men. Notably, the increase disproportionally affected those with lower educational attainment, and could be related to the increase on social inequalities and poverty. In Brazil, the economic consequences of the pandemic worsened the scenario imposed by austerity measures implemented since 2015 [39]. These measures affected social security and the Brazilian public unified health system, and investigating how those macro-level determinants of health will impact RD, and its consequences, is of utmost importance for planning public health policies in the next years.

The higher prevalence of RD among people with mental health disorders corroborates previous reports pointing to a higher probability of AUD in people with psychiatric comorbidities [40]. The reasons behind this frequent comorbidity are still debated, be it because of a direct or indirect causal effect of AUD on other psychiatric disorders or the other way around; because of shared environmental and genetic causes or shared psychopathological mechanisms [41,42].

Individuals with chronic disease, in turn, presented a lower prevalence of RD. This result is in contrast with data from the pre-pandemic period, as reported by Pham and cols. [43], who found similar drinking patterns in people with chronic disease and the general population. Manthey and cols. [25] reported a reduction of alcohol use in Colombia's and Mexico's primary care patients, suggesting the lack of social gatherings, a restrictive set of alcohol control policies, and economic losses as possible explanations for their findings. Even so, alcohol consumption still negatively impacts one's treatment, either because there is a negative association between medication adherence and alcohol use [44] or because the substance itself can worsen the clinical condition [45]. Thus, monitoring consumption among clinical populations continues to be important for providing AUD treatment and for planning health services.

The study is not free of limitations. First, the sample was non-probabilistic, as many other websurveys [46], and results may not be generalized to the entire population. All non-probability/convenience samples are prone to selection bias and the effects brough by this bias are uncertain. For instance, it is possible that individuals presenting mental health problems were more likely to answer, which would increase

RD prevalence. On the other side, it is also possible that individuals presenting physical problems were more likely to answer, decreasing the RD prevalence. The only way to control selection bias is to conduct a probability study, but this still represents a challenge when using web surveys - and during the pandemic it even more difficult, considering the time and financial resources needed for conducting those studies [46,47]. Second, this is a longitudinal study, but the samples do not comprise the same individuals. Although cohorts are also prone to selection bias, and attrition, the evaluation of changes on RD at the individual level should be confirmed using a cohort designs investigation. Third, women, who usually drink less than men [3], were overrepresented, but we were able to control the outcomes by gender. Fourth, individuals presenting lower socio-economic resources - and more prone to the pandemic's economic consequences-may be underrepresented. The effect of this selection bias will have to be examined profiting from additional studies employing different sampling methods (respondent driving sampling, for example). Finally, social desirability bias regarding alcohol use may not be excluded but considering the normalization of alcohol drinking behavior [3], it is unlikely that answering the AUDIT-C would make individuals embarrassed.

Despite the limitations, this is the first study to bring information with longitudinal data from a continental middle-income country in Latin-American after the COVID-19 outbreak. Although there was decrease on RD prevalence, the economic consequences of pandemic and the dismantlement of the unified health system, make the monitoring of alcohol use trends crucial for public health policies.

Acknowledgments: RBDB acknowledges funding from CNPq grants #31253/2020-4 and #400197/2022-7. Funding agencies had no role on study design, data collection, analysis, and interpretation, or writing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) Relative Risks 2020.
- [2] Griswold MG, Fullman N, Hawley C, Arian N, Zimsen SRM, Tymeson HD, et al. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. The Lancet 2018;392:1015–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31310-2.
- [3] World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.

- [4] United Nations. Goal 3: Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages. Sustain Dev Goals n.d. https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/health/ (accessed April 4, 2023).
- [5] Rehm J, Kilian C, Ferreira-Borges C, Jernigan D, Monteiro M, Parry CDH, et al. Alcohol use in times of the COVID 19: Implications for monitoring and policy. Drug Alcohol Rev 2020;39:301–4. https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13074.
- [6] Sohi I, Chrystoja BR, Rehm J, Wells S, Monteiro M, Ali S, et al. Changes in alcohol use during the COVID-19 pandemic and previous pandemics: A systematic review. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2022;46:498–513. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14792.
- [7] Garnett C, Jackson S, Oldham M, Brown J, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Factors associated with drinking behaviour during COVID-19 social distancing and lockdown among adults in the UK. Drug Alcohol Depend 2021;219:108461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108461.
- [8] Pollard MS, Tucker JS, Green HD. Changes in Adult Alcohol Use and Consequences During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the US. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3:e2022942. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.22942.
- [9] Gritsenko V, Skugarevsky O, Konstantinov V, Khamenka N, Marinova T, Reznik A, et al. COVID 19 Fear, Stress, Anxiety, and Substance Use Among Russian and Belarusian University Students. Int J Ment Health Addict 2021;19:2362–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00330-z.
- [10] Sidor A, Rzymski P. Dietary Choices and Habits during COVID-19 Lockdown: Experience from Poland. Nutrients 2020;12:1657. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12061657.
- [11] López-Bueno R, Calatayud J, Casaña J, Casajús JA, Smith L, Tully MA, et al. COVID-19 Confinement and Health Risk Behaviors in Spain. Front Psychol 2020;11:1426. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01426.
- [12] Koopmann A, Georgiadou E, Kiefer F, Hillemacher T. Did the General Population in Germany Drink More Alcohol during the COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdown? Alcohol Alcohol 2020;55:698–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/agaa058.
- [13] Moura HF, Diemen L von, Bulzing RA, Meyer J, Grabovac I, López-Sánchez GF, et al. Alcohol use in self-isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey in Brazil. Trends Psychiatry Psychother 2023;45:1–8. https://doi.org/10.47626/2237-6089-2021-0337.
- [14] Malta DC, Gomes CS, de Vasconcelos NM. Alcohol consumption among adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic, ConVid Adolescents – Behavior Research. Rev Bras Epidemiol 2023;26(Suppl 1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-549720230007.supl.1.
- [15] Garcia-Cerde R, Valente JY, Sohi I, Falade R, Sanchez ZM, Monteiro MG. Alcohol use during the COVID-19 pandemic in Latin America and the Caribbean. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2021;45:1–11. https://doi.org/10.26633/RPSP.2021.52.
- [16] Nin MS, Heidrich N, Almeida FB, Izolan LR, Gomez R, Barros HMT. Social distancing and changes in drug use: Results from a cross-sectional study during the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil. Front Psychiatry 2022;13:999372. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.999372.
- [17] US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening and Behavioral Counseling Interventions to Reduce Unhealthy Alcohol Use in Adolescents and Adults: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA 2018;320:1899–909. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.16789.

- [18] De Boni RB, Ribeiro-Alves M, Mota JC, Gomes M, Balanzá-Martínez V, Kapczinski F, et al. The cumulative effect of multiple dimensions of lifestyle on risky drinking during the Covid-19 pandemic. Prev Med 2021;150:106718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2021.106718.
- [19] Machado IK, Luz PM, Lake JE, Castro R, Velasque L, Clark JL, et al. Self-rated health and substance use among individuals in HIV care in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a cross-sectional study. Int J STD AIDS 2017;28:1175–83. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956462417692278.
- [20] Medeiros RCDSCD, Medeiros JAD, Silva TALD, Andrade RDD, Medeiros DCD, Araújo JDS, et al. Quality of life, socioeconomic and clinical factors, and physical exercise in persons living with HIV/AIDS. Rev Saúde Pública 2017;51. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1518-8787.2017051006266.
- [21] Magnabosco MDB, Formigoni MLODS, Ronzani TM. Avaliação dos padrões de uso de álcool em usuários de serviços de Atenção Primária à Saúde de Juiz de Fora e Rio Pomba (MG). Rev Bras Epidemiol 2007;10:637–47. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-790X2007000400021.
- [22] Botega N, Mitsuushi GN, Azevedo RCS, Mauro MLF, Fanger PC, Lima DD, et al. P01-264
 Depression, Alcohol Use Disorders and Nicotine Dependence in the General Hospital. Eur Psychiatry 2010;25:1–1. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338(10)70472-7.
- [23] Almeida-Filho N, Lessa I, Magalhães L, Araújo MJ, Aquino E, De Jesus Mari J. Cooccurrence patterns of anxiety, depression and alcohol use disorders. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2007;257:423–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-007-0752-0.
- [24] Salles M, Bastos FI, Costa GLA, Mota JC, Boni RBD. Transtornos relacionados ao uso de álcool entre pessoas com doenças infecciosas, crônicas e mentais: Brasil, 2015. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva 2023.
- [25] Manthey J, Carr S, Anderson P, Bautista N, Braddick F, O'Donnell A, et al. Reduced alcohol consumption during the COVID-19 pandemic: Analyses of 17 000 patients seeking primary health care in Colombia and Mexico. J Glob Health 2022;12:05002. https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.12.05002.
- [26] Ribeiro-Alves M, Costa GL, Cardoso T de A, Cerezer K, Martini T. Lifestyle changes during the COVID-1- 9 pandemic in Brazil: results from three consecutive cross-sectional web surveys. BMJ Open 2023;13:e070328. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-070328.
- [27] Balanzá-Martínez V, Kapczinski F, De Azevedo Cardoso T, Atienza-Carbonell B, Rosa AR, Mota JC, et al. The assessment of lifestyle changes during the COVID-19 pandemic using a multidimensional scale. Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment Engl Ed 2021;14:16–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpsmen.2020.07.005.
- [28] Bush K. The AUDIT Alcohol Consumption Questions (AUDIT-C)An Effective Brief Screening Test for Problem Drinking. Arch Intern Med 1998;158:1789. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.158.16.1789.
- [29] Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). Pesquisa Nacional por Amostra de Domicílios Contínua notas metodológicas (volume 1) 2014.
- [30] Rocha R, Atun R, Massuda A, Rache B, Spinola P, Nunes L, et al. Effect of socioeconomic inequalities and vulnerabilities on health-system preparedness and response to COVID-19 in Brazil: a comprehensive analysis. Lancet Glob Health 2021;9:e782–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00081-4.

- [31] Ryan TA. The experiment as the unit for computing rates of error. Psychol Bull 1962;59:301–5. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0040562.
- [32] Roberts A, Rogers J, Mason R, Siriwardena AN, Hogue T, Whitley GA, et al. Alcohol and other substance use during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. Drug Alcohol Depend 2021;229:109150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.109150.
- [33] Baptista CJ. Factors Associated With Increased Alcohol Consumption During Physical and Social Distancing Measures During the COVID-19 Pandemic in a University in Brazil. Subst Abuse Res Treat 2022;16:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1177/11782218211061.
- [34] Compton WM, Flannagan KSJ, Silveira ML, Creamer MR, Kimmel HL, Kanel M, et al. Tobacco, Alcohol, Cannabis, and Other Drug Use in the US Before and During the Early Phase of the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Netw Open 2023;6:e2254566. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.54566.
- [35] Daniali H, Martinussen M, Flaten MA. A global meta-analysis of depression, anxiety, and stress before and during COVID-19. Health Psychol 2023;42:124–38. https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0001259.
- [36] Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistic Notes: Regression towards the mean. BMJ 1994;308:1499–1499. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.308.6942.1499.
- [37] Kulkarni D, Nundy M, McSwiggan E, Adams E, Dozier M, Hartnup K, et al. To what extent is alcohol consumption in social gatherings associated with observance of COVID-19 restrictions? A rapid review. J Glob Health 2022;12:13001. https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.12.13001.
- [38] Case A, Deaton A. Deaths of Despair and the Future of Capitalism. New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2021.
- [39] Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). PNAD Contínua Pesquisa Nacional por Amostra de Domicílios Contínua n.d. https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/sociais/trabalho/9173-pesquisa-nacional-poramostra-de-domicilios-continua-trimestral.html?=&t=serieshistoricas&utm_source=landing&utm_medium=explica&utm_campaign=desemprego (accessed March 23, 2023).
- [40] Puddephatt J, Irizar P, Jones A, Gage SH, Goodwin L. Associations of common mental disorder with alcohol use in the adult general population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Addiction 2022;117:1543–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15735.
- [41] Irizar P, Jones A, Christiansen P, Goodwin L, Gage SH, Roberts C, et al. Longitudinal associations with alcohol consumption during the first COVID-19 lockdown: Associations with mood, drinking motives, context of drinking, and mental health. Drug Alcohol Depend 2021;226:108913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.108913.
- [42] Castillo-Carniglia A, Keyes KM, Hasin DS, Cerdá M. Psychiatric comorbidities in alcohol use disorder. Lancet Psychiatry 2019;6:1068–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30222-6.
- [43] Pham TTL, Callinan S, Livingston M. Patterns of alcohol consumption among people with major chronic diseases. Aust J Prim Health 2019;25:163. https://doi.org/10.1071/PY18075.
- [44] Grodensky CA, Golin CE, Ochtera RD, Turner BJ. Systematic Review: Effect of Alcohol Intake on Adherence to Outpatient Medication Regimens for Chronic Diseases. J Stud Alcohol Drugs 2012;73:899–910. https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2012.73.899.

- [45] Barbería-Latasa M, Gea A, Martínez-González MA. Alcohol, Drinking Pattern, and Chronic Disease. Nutrients 2022;14:1954. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14091954.
- [46] De Boni RB. Web surveys in the time of COVID-19. Cad Saude Publica 2020;36:e00155820. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311x00155820.
- [47] Biffignandi S, Bethlehem J. Handbook of Web Surveys. 2nd ed. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2021.

Trends Psychiatry Psychother - Pre-Proof - http://doi.org/10.47626/2237-6089-2023-0686

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table 1. Adjusted prevalence and 95% CI of risky drinking along the surveys, overall and by chronic and mental health diseases (Brazil 2020-21).

Survey	Category		Prevalence (95%CI)
1	Overall		45.83 [45.51-46.15]
2	Overall		35.28 [34.94-35.63]
3	Overall		33.69 [33.33-34.03]
	Chronic		
1	Disease	Negative	47.86 [<mark>47.30</mark> -48.42]
	Chronic		
2	Disease	Negative	36.53 [35.92-37.12]
	Chronic		
3	Disease	Negative	35.67 [35.06-36.26]
	Chronic		
1	Disease	Positive	45.05 [44.31-45.79]
	Chronic		
2	Disease	Positive	30.62 [29.85-31.32]
	Chronic		
3	Disease	Positive	33.11 [32.38-33.82]
	Mental		
1	Disorder	Negative	42.89 [42.32-43.47]
	Mental		
2	Disorder	Negative	34.46 [33.91-35.03]
	Mental	\mathbf{Y}	
3	Disorder	Negative	35.35 [34.73-35.96]
	Mental		
1	Disorder	Positive	<mark>51.50</mark> [50.78- <mark>52.20</mark>]
	Mental		
2	Disorder	Positive	37.56 [36.81-38.36]
3	Mental		
3	Disorder	Positive	32.34 [31.62-33.11]

Resampling (B=2000) stratified by sex, age, education, employment, number of people in the household, chronic and mental health diseases where applicable.

Table 2. Bootstrapped estimates (aOR) for risky drinking along with the surveys, by chronic and mental health diseases (Brazil, 2020-21).

		Survey	aORCi95
Chronic Disease	No	2/1	0.63 [0.61-0.65]
	No	3 / 1	<mark>0.60 [0.58-0.63</mark>]
	No	3/2	0.96 [0.93- <mark>1.00]</mark>
	Yes	2 / 1	0.54 [0.51-0.56]
	Yes	3 / 1	<mark>0.60</mark> [0.58-0.63]
	Yes	3/2	1.12 [1.07-1.18]
Mental Disorder	No	2 / 1	<mark>0.70</mark> [0.68-0.72]
	No	3 / 1	0.73 [<mark>0.70</mark> -0.76]
	No	3/2	1.04 [1.01-1.08]
	Yes	2 / 1	0.57 [0.54-0.59]
	Yes	3 / 1	0.45 [0.43-0.47]
	Yes	3/2	0.79 [0.76-0.83]

Resampling (B=2000) stratified by sex, age, education, employment, number of people in the household, chronic and mental health diseases where applicable.